UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,973	04/16/2004	Gregory E. Niles	18602-08906 (P3331US1)	1031
61520 APPLE/FENW	7590 01/28/201 ICK	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL		REPKO, JASON MICHAEL		
801 CALIFORI MOUNTAIN V	YIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/826,973	NILES ET AL.		
	Art offic		
JASON M. REPKO	2628		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revie	ew filed 21 Dec	ember 2010.				
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and	l a conference v	will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment is☐ Other:	ude reasons why a revi	ew is appropria	ite.				
The time period for filing a responthe mail date of the last Office con			ate of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received.				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 116, 121- Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	<u>123, 125-128, 130-133,</u>	` '	vs:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
All participants:							
(1) JASON M. REPKO.		(3) <i>Xiao Wu</i> .					
(2) <u>Ulka Chauhan</u> .	((4)					
/Jason M Repko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628	/Ulka Chauhan/ Supervisory Patent Ex Unit 2628	aminer, Art	/XIAO M. WU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628				